

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

North Justice Center
1275 N. Berkeley Ave
Fullerton , CA 92838

SHORT TITLE: City of Newport Beach vs. Newport Beach Stewardship Association**CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/ELECTRONIC
SERVICE****CASE NUMBER:**
30-2026-01559183-CU-JR-NJC

I certify that I am not a party to this cause. I certify that that the following document(s), Minute Order dated 09/14/26, was transmitted electronically by an Orange County Superior Court email server on September 14, 2026, at 11:09:19 AM PDT. The business mailing address is Orange County Superior Court, 700 Civic Center Dr. W, Santa Ana, California 92701. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1013b, I electronically served the document(s) on the persons identified at the email addresses listed below:

PAUL HASTINGS LLP
CHRISCARR@PAULHASTINGS.COM

PAUL HASTINGS LLP
LUCASGRUNBAUM@PAULHASTINGS.COM

PAUL HASTINGS LLP
NAVIDHILLON@PAULHASTINGS.COM

PAUL HASTINGS LLP
WINSTONSTROMBERG@PAULHASTINGS.COM

RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP
BIHRKE@RUTAN.COM

RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP
GCHO@RUTAN.COM

RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP
JFARRELL@RUTAN.COM

Clerk of the Court, by:



_____, Deputy

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/ELECTRONIC SERVICE

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,
COUNTY OF ORANGE
NORTH JUSTICE CENTER

MINUTE ORDER

DATE: 09/14/2026

TIME: 01:30:00 PM

DEPT: N14

JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Julianne Bancroft

CLERK: C. Sanchez

REPORTER/ERM: None

BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT: B. Espinosa

CASE NO: **30-2026-01559183-CU-JR-NJC** CASE INIT.DATE: 03/26/2026

CASE TITLE: **City of Newport Beach vs. Newport Beach Stewardship Association**

CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited CASE TYPE: Judicial Review - Other

EVENT ID/DOCUMENT ID: 74953501

EVENT TYPE: Ex Parte

MOVING PARTY: Aaron C. Harp, City of Newport Beach

CAUSAL DOCUMENT/DATE FILED: Ex Parte Application - Other, 09/11/2026

APPEARANCES

No Appearance by all parties

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER OR OTHER AFFIRMATIVE RELIEF RE: CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH'S ADMINISTRATION OF STAND ALONE ELECTION IN FURTHERANCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH WRIT OF MANDATE

There are no appearances by any party.

Ex Parte Application and Opposition are read and considered.

The Ex Parte Application is **DENIED**.

Applicant has failed to make an affirmative factual showing in a declaration containing competent testimony based on personal knowledge of irreparable harm, immediate danger, or any other statutory basis for granting relief ex parte. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1202.) Specifically, the ex parte application appears to be based on the City's concerns regarding the expense of complying with this Court's orders. This is not irreparable harm. Further, any issues the City is currently facing are of its own making. Commencing on April 13, 2026, the Court has worked cooperatively with the parties to hear all matters the City contended had to be addressed before the election, scheduling all briefing and hearings with the understanding that, if the Court ruled against the City, the City would be able to take the necessary steps to get the initiatives on the ballot in November 2026. That the City is still indicating that there are problems with its doing so may be due to intentional actions, poor management and planning, or negligence. But the problems have been caused by the City. The City, not the Court, must address them.

Court orders Clerk to give notice.