

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE __

Don't be fooled by political spin!

The very elected politicians who approved a staggering 8,174 new housing units in the city—Councilmembers Noah Blum, Michelle Barto, and Sara Weber—now insist that allowing thousands of unnecessary luxury housing units is what we need in Newport Beach.

The politicians behind the opposition tell you that these 8,174 new housing units will actually improve traffic, improve roads, and make the city better. We all know better.

These politicians also complain that Measure __ would allow the development of 2,900 affordable units. What they don't tell you is that the City Council voted for **3,194** affordable units, **PLUS** another 5,000 luxury units. They are trying to mislead you.

The politicians further claim that Measure __ raises "questions" about compliance with state law, suggesting only they can decide how much housing is allowed in our city. **NONSENSE**.

Last time we checked, voters get to decide what is best for our city. And the housing plan the community has proposed in Measure __ satisfies state housing laws.

This is our chance to undo the terrible mistake made by the City Council.

We need a responsible housing plan that preserves our coastal community while making housing more affordable for everyone.

VOTE YES on Measure __ to reverse the City Council and lower new housing from 8,174 units to 2,900 units.

JUN 25 '26 PM3:41
REC'D CITY CLERKS OFFIC

**ARGUMENTS
FORM OF STATEMENT TO BE FILED BY
AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS**

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9, Chapter 3 (beginning with § 9200) of the Elections Code shall be accompanied by the following form statement **to be signed** by each proponent, and by each author, if different, of the argument:

The undersigned proponent (s) or author(s) of the (primary/rebuttal) argument (in favor of/against) ballot proposition Responsible Housing Initiative at the General Municipal election for the City of Newport Beach to be held on November 3, 2026, hereby state that the argument is true and correct to the best of (his/her/their) knowledge and belief.

Print Name <u>Marshall "Duffy" Duffield</u> Title _____ (If applicable): Submitted on behalf of : _____ (name of organization)	Signature <u>[Signature]</u> Date <u>6/25/2024</u>
Print Name <u>Curt Fleming</u> Title _____ (If applicable): Submitted on behalf of : _____ (name of organization)	Signature <u>[Signature]</u> Date <u>6-25-2026</u>
Print Name <u>Charles Klobe</u> Title _____ (If applicable): Submitted on behalf of : _____ (name of organization)	Signature <u>[Signature]</u> Date <u>6/25/26</u>
Print Name <u>Nancy Scarbrough</u> Title _____ (If applicable): Submitted on behalf of : _____ (name of organization)	Signature <u>[Signature]</u> Date <u>6/25/26</u>

All Authors must print his/her name **and sign this form** (ELEC. § 9600.)

AND

Print his/her name **and sign the Argument itself** (ELEC. § 9283.)

AND

Print his/her name **and sign the Rebuttal Argument itself** (ELEC. § 9285.)

Further, pursuant to ELEC. § 9282., printed arguments submitted to the voters shall be titled with "Argument In Favor Of Measure ____" or "Argument Against Measure ____".

Likewise, printed rebuttal arguments submitted pursuant to ELEC. § 9285 shall be titled with "Rebuttal To Argument In Favor Of Measure ____" or "Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure ____".

The following statement shall be included on the front cover, or, if none, on the heading of the first page of the printed arguments in the Voter Information Guide:

"Arguments in support or opposition of the proposed laws are the opinions of the authors"